The Combinatorial Topology of the Permutation Pattern Poset

> Peter McNamara Bucknell University

Joint work with: Einar Steingrímsson University of Strathclyde

Bijective and Algebraic Combinatorics in honor of Bruce Sagan's 60th birthday

25 March 2014

Slides and paper available from

www.facstaff.bucknell.edu/pm040/

The Combinatorial Topology of the Permutation Pattern Poset

Peter R. W. McNamara & Einar Steingrímsson

- The PPP setting
- Some combinatorial topology
- Outline of results
- Open problems

Pattern order: order permutations by pattern containment. e.g.,  $4132 \leq 516423$ 



Pattern order: order permutations by pattern containment. e.g.,  $4132 \le 516423$ 



Wilf (2002): What can be said about the Möbius function  $\mu(\sigma, \tau)$  of the pattern poset?

Pattern order: order permutations by pattern containment. e.g.,  $4132 \le 516423$ 



Wilf (2002): What can be said about the Möbius function  $\mu(\sigma, \tau)$  of the pattern poset?

- Sagan & Vatter (2006)
- Steingrímsson & Tenner (2010)
- Burstein, Jelínek, Jelínková & Steingrímsson (2011)
- Smith (2013)

Pattern order: order permutations by pattern containment. e.g.,  $4132 \le 516423$ 



Wilf (2002): What can be said about the Möbius function  $\mu(\sigma, \tau)$  of the pattern poset?

- Sagan & Vatter (2006)
- Steingrímsson & Tenner (2010)
- Burstein, Jelínek, Jelínková & Steingrímsson (2011)
- Smith (2013)

### Still open.

## Other topological questions

- Are the open intervals connected?
- Shellable?
- What is their homotopy type?



Poset  $P \longrightarrow$  Simplicial complex  $\Delta(P)$ 

Poset  $P \longrightarrow$  Simplicial complex  $\Delta(P)$ 

Order complex of [p, q]: faces of  $\Delta(p, q)$  are the chains in (p, q).



Poset  $P \longrightarrow$  Simplicial complex  $\Delta(P)$ 

Order complex of [p, q]: faces of  $\Delta(p, q)$  are the chains in (p, q).



Poset  $P \longrightarrow$  Simplicial complex  $\Delta(P)$ 

Order complex of [p, q]: faces of  $\Delta(p, q)$  are the chains in (p, q).



Poset  $P \longrightarrow$  Simplicial complex  $\Delta(P)$ 

Order complex of [p, q]: faces of  $\Delta(p, q)$  are the chains in (p, q).



Poset  $P \longrightarrow$  Simplicial complex  $\Delta(P)$ 

Order complex of [p, q]: faces of  $\Delta(p, q)$  are the chains in (p, q).



Poset  $P \longrightarrow$  Simplicial complex  $\Delta(P)$ 

Order complex of [p, q]: faces of  $\Delta(p, q)$  are the chains in (p, q).

Example.



Definition. A pure *d*-dimensional complex is shellable if its facets can be ordered  $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$  such that, for all  $2 \le i \le n$ ,

$$F_i \cap (F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \cdots \cup F_{i-1})$$

is pure and (d-1)-dimensional.

Poset  $P \longrightarrow$  Simplicial complex  $\Delta(P)$ 

Order complex of [p, q]: faces of  $\Delta(p, q)$  are the chains in (p, q).

Example.



Definition. A pure *d*-dimensional complex is shellable if its facets can be ordered  $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$  such that, for all  $2 \le i \le n$ ,

$$F_i \cap (F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \cdots \cup F_{i-1})$$

is pure and (d-1)-dimensional.

## Non-shellable



### Non-shellable



▶ Main counterexample. (p, q) disconnected with  $d \ge 1$ :  $\Delta(p, q)$  is not shellable.



The interval above is said to be non-trivially disconnected.

The Combinatorial Topology of the Permutation Pattern Poset

Peter R. W. McNamara & Einar Steingrímsson

- If ∆(p, q) is shellable, then its either contractible, or homotopic to a wedge of |µ(p, q)| spheres in the top dimension.
- Combinatorial tools for showing shellability of ∆(P): EL-shellability, CL-shellability, etc.

### Results

Direct sum:  $21 \oplus 3214 = 215436$ . Skew sum:  $21 \oplus 3214 = 653214$ .

 $\pi$  is indecomposable if  $\pi \neq \alpha \oplus \beta$  for any non-empty  $\alpha, \beta$ .

Lemma. If  $\pi$  is indecomposable with  $|\pi| \ge 3$ , then  $\Delta(\pi, \pi \oplus \pi)$  is disconnected and so not shellable.



## Almost all intervals are not shellable

Theorem [McN. & Steingrímsson]. Fix  $\sigma$ . Randomly choose  $\tau$  of length *n*.

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\text{Probability that }\Delta(\sigma,\tau)\text{ is shellable})=0.$ 

# Almost all intervals are not shellable

Theorem [McN. & Steingrímsson].

Fix  $\sigma$ . Randomly choose  $\tau$  of length *n*.

```
\lim_{n\to\infty}(\text{Probability that }\Delta(\sigma,\tau)\text{ is shellable})=0.
```

Idea.

- ► Björner: If [σ, τ] is shellable (i.e. Δ(σ, τ) is), then so is every subinterval of [σ, τ].
- Thus, if [σ, τ] contains a (non-trivial) disconnected subinterval, then it can't be shellable.

Show every [σ, τ] as n→∞ contains [π, π⊕π] with π indecomposable, or contains [π, π⊖π] with π skew indecomposable.

# Almost all intervals are not shellable

Theorem [McN. & Steingrímsson].

Fix  $\sigma$ . Randomly choose  $\tau$  of length *n*.

```
\lim_{n\to\infty}(\text{Probability that }\Delta(\sigma,\tau)\text{ is shellable})=0.
```

Idea.

- ► Björner: If [σ, τ] is shellable (i.e. Δ(σ, τ) is), then so is every subinterval of [σ, τ].
- Thus, if [σ, τ] contains a (non-trivial) disconnected subinterval, then it can't be shellable.

Show every [σ, τ] as n→∞ contains [π, π⊕π] with π indecomposable, or contains [π, π⊖π] with π skew indecomposable.

#### Several other results about disconnected intervals.

### Shellable intervals

In contrast, there's a large class of shellable intervals.

Definition.  $\pi$  is layered if it takes the form  $\pi = \pi^1 \oplus \pi^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi^k$  with each  $\pi^i$  decreasing.

e.g.  $1 \oplus 1 \oplus 321 \oplus 321 \oplus 1 = 125438769 = 11331$ .

### Shellable intervals

In contrast, there's a large class of shellable intervals.

Definition.  $\pi$  is layered if it takes the form  $\pi = \pi^1 \oplus \pi^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi^k$  with each  $\pi^i$  decreasing.

e.g.  $1 \oplus 1 \oplus 321 \oplus 321 \oplus 1 = 125438769 = 11331$ .

Lemma. In layered case, it's trivial to check if  $[\sigma, \tau]$  is disconnected.

Theorem [McN. & Steingrímsson]. Suppose  $\sigma, \tau$  layered such that  $[\sigma, \tau]$  does not contain a non-trivial disconnected subinterval. Then  $[\sigma, \tau]$  is shellable.

Example.  $[1 \oplus 321 \oplus 1, 321 \oplus 321 \oplus 1 \oplus 21 \oplus 1] = [131, 33121]$  is shellable.

### Shellable intervals

In contrast, there's a large class of shellable intervals.

Definition.  $\pi$  is layered if it takes the form  $\pi = \pi^1 \oplus \pi^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi^k$  with each  $\pi^i$  decreasing.

e.g.  $1 \oplus 1 \oplus 321 \oplus 321 \oplus 1 = 125438769 = 11331$ .

Lemma. In layered case, it's trivial to check if  $[\sigma, \tau]$  is disconnected.

Theorem [McN. & Steingrímsson]. Suppose  $\sigma, \tau$  layered such that  $[\sigma, \tau]$  does not contain a non-trivial disconnected subinterval. Then  $[\sigma, \tau]$  is shellable.

Example.  $[1 \oplus 321 \oplus 1, 321 \oplus 321 \oplus 1 \oplus 21 \oplus 1] = [131, 33121]$  is shellable.

Idea of proof. Show  $[\sigma, \tau]$  is dual CL-shellable.

# Two connections to generalized subword order.

P: any poset.



*P*<sup>\*</sup>: set of words over the alphabet *P*.

### Two connections to generalized subword order.

P: any poset.



*P*<sup>\*</sup>: set of words over the alphabet *P*.

Main Definition.  $u \le w$  if there exists a subword  $w(i_1)w(i_2)\cdots w(i_r)$  of w of the same length as u such that

$$u(j) \leq_P w(i_j)$$
 for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ .

Example. *P* is the chain  $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < \cdots$  gives containment order for layered permutations.

e.g.  $22 \leq_P 412$  is equivalent to  $21 \oplus 21 \leq 4321 \oplus 1 \oplus 21$ .

# Two connections to generalized subword order.

### P: any poset.



*P*<sup>\*</sup>: set of words over the alphabet *P*.

Main Definition.  $u \le w$  if there exists a subword  $w(i_1)w(i_2)\cdots w(i_r)$  of w of the same length as u such that

 $u(j) \leq_P w(i_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ .

Example. *P* is the chain  $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < \cdots$  gives containment order for layered permutations.

e.g.  $22 \leq_P 412$  is equivalent to  $21 \oplus 21 \leq 4321 \oplus 1 \oplus 21$ .

#### **Connection 1.**

Theorem [McN. & Steingrímsson]. If P is a rooted forest, then [u, w] is shellable iff it does not contain a non-trivial disconnected subinterval.

# A Möbius function formula

 $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_s$ , the finest decomposition of  $\sigma$ .  $\tau = \tau_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau_t$ , the finest decomposition of  $\tau$ .

Burstein, Jelínek, Jelínková & Steingrímsson: 2 propositions for expressing  $\mu(\sigma, \tau)$  in terms of  $\mu(\sigma_i, \tau_j)$ .

Theorem [McN. & Steingrímsson].

$$\mu(\sigma,\tau) = \sum_{\sigma=\sigma_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \sigma_t} \prod_{1 \le m \le t} \begin{cases} \mu(\sigma_m,\tau_m) + 1 & \text{if } \sigma_m = \emptyset \text{ and } \tau_{m-1} = \tau_m, \\ \mu(\sigma_m,\tau_m) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the sum is over all direct sums  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_t$  such that  $\emptyset \le \sigma_m \le \tau_m$  for all  $1 \le m \le t$ .

**Connection 2.** This is identical to the formula for  $\mu$  for generalized subword order: replace indecomposable parts by letters from *P*.

# A Möbius function formula

 $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_s$ , the finest decomposition of  $\sigma$ .  $\tau = \tau_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau_t$ , the finest decomposition of  $\tau$ .

Burstein, Jelínek, Jelínková & Steingrímsson: 2 propositions for expressing  $\mu(\sigma, \tau)$  in terms of  $\mu(\sigma_i, \tau_j)$ .

Theorem [McN. & Steingrímsson].

$$\mu(\sigma,\tau) = \sum_{\sigma=\sigma_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \sigma_t} \prod_{1 \le m \le t} \begin{cases} \mu(\sigma_m,\tau_m) + 1 & \text{if } \sigma_m = \emptyset \text{ and } \tau_{m-1} = \tau_m, \\ \mu(\sigma_m,\tau_m) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the sum is over all direct sums  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_t$  such that  $\emptyset \le \sigma_m \le \tau_m$  for all  $1 \le m \le t$ .

**Connection 2.** This is identical to the formula for  $\mu$  for generalized subword order: replace indecomposable parts by letters from *P*.

#### Open problem. Why?

### More open problems

- Understand non-shellable intervals without disconnected subintervals.
  e.g. [123, 3416725].
- Find a good way to test shellability by computer.
- Separable permutations: can be built from 1 by a sequence of direct sums or skew sums.

$$1 \oplus 1 = 12$$
  
 $(1 \oplus 1) \ominus (1 \oplus 1) = 12 \ominus 12 = 3412$   
 $1 \oplus 3412 = 14523$  etc.

Conjecture. Suppose  $\sigma, \tau$  separable such that  $[\sigma, \tau]$  does not contain a non-trivial disconnected subinterval. Then  $[\sigma, \tau]$  is shellable.

• Conjecture.  $[\sigma, \tau]$  is always rank unimodal.

# Consecutive pattern poset

Joint with Sergi Elizalde.

Consecutive pattern poset:  $\sigma \le \tau$  if  $\sigma$  appears as a set of consecutive letters in  $\tau$ . e.g. 213  $\le$  254613.

Möbius function: Bernini-Ferrari-Steingrímsson, Sagan-Willenbring

Theorem [Sagan & Willenbring]. Any interval is homoptic to a sphere or is contractible.

#### Theorems [Elizalde & McN.]

- Any interval is shellable iff it doesn't contain a non-trivial disconnected subinterval.
- All intervals are rank unimodal.

# Consecutive pattern poset

Joint with Sergi Elizalde.

Consecutive pattern poset:  $\sigma \le \tau$  if  $\sigma$  appears as a set of consecutive letters in  $\tau$ . e.g. 213  $\le$  254613.

Möbius function: Bernini-Ferrari-Steingrímsson, Sagan-Willenbring

Theorem [Sagan & Willenbring]. Any interval is homoptic to a sphere or is contractible.

#### Theorems [Elizalde & McN.]

- Any interval is shellable iff it doesn't contain a non-trivial disconnected subinterval.
- All intervals are rank unimodal.

### Thanks!