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## Background \& Motivation

Pattern order: order permutations by pattern containment
e.g., $4132 \leq 516423$

Example of a PPP interval


Motivating question [Wilf]
What's the Möbius function of such intervals?

- Sagan \& Vatter (2006)
- Steingrímsson \& Tenner (2010)
- Burstein, Jelínek, Jelínková \& Steingrímsson (2011)
- Smith $(2013,2014)$

General case still open.

## OUR FOCUS: other topological questions

- Are the open intervals connected?
- Shellable?
-What is their homotopy type?



## Main Results

Fact [Björner]:
Non-trivial disconnected subinterval $\Longrightarrow$ not shellable

Theorem (almost all intervals are not shellable)
Fix $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Randomly choose $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ of length $\mathbf{n}$.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(\text { Probability that } \Delta(\sigma, \tau) \text { is shellable })=0
$$

In contrast, there's a large class of intervals that are shellable.

## Definitions.

Direct sum: $21 \oplus 3214=215436$.
$\pi$ is layered if it takes the form
$\boldsymbol{\pi}=\pi_{1} \oplus \pi_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_{\mathrm{k}}$ with each $\pi_{\mathrm{i}}$ decreasing.
e.g. $21 \oplus 321 \oplus 321 \oplus 1=215438769$

Theorem (all possible layered intervals are shellable)

Suppose $\sigma, \tau$ layered such that $[\sigma, \tau]$ does not contain a non-trivial disconnected subinterval. Then $[\sigma, \tau]$ is shellable.

Proof: CL-shellability (intricately)

## More Results

Theorem (augmentation preserves disconnectivity)

Suppose $(\sigma, \tau)$ is disconnected. Then so are $(\alpha \oplus \sigma, \alpha \oplus \tau) \quad$ and $\quad(\sigma \oplus \alpha, \tau \oplus \alpha)$.

Under fairly mild conditions, the new intervals are isomorphic to the original, e.g.
$(321,321 \oplus 321) \cong(312 \oplus 321,312 \oplus 321 \oplus 321)$

Theorem (a unified Möbius function formula)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } \tau=\tau_{1} \oplus \tau_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{t}} \text { be finest. Then } \\
& \qquad \mu(\sigma, \tau)=\sum_{\sigma=\sigma_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \prod_{1 \leq \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{t}} \mu\left(\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}, \tau_{\mathbf{m}}\right)+\square
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { where } \square=1 \text { if } \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}=\emptyset \text { and } \tau_{\mathrm{m}-1}=\tau_{\mathrm{m}}
$$

$$
\text { and } \square=\mathbf{0} \text { otherwise. }
$$

## Open Problems

- Understand non-shellable intervals without disconnected subintervals.


## e.g. [123, 3416725].

- Find a good way to test shellability by computer.
- Separable permutations: can be built from 1 by a sequence of direct sums or skew sums.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} & =\mathbf{1 2} \\
\mathbf{( 1 \oplus 1 )} \ominus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}) & =12 \ominus \mathbf{1 2}=3412 \\
1 \oplus \mathbf{3 4 1 2} & =14523 \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Conjecture. Suppose $\sigma, \tau$ separable such that $[\sigma, \tau]$ does not contain a non-trivial disconnected subinterval. Then $[\sigma, \tau]$ is shellable.

- Conjecture. $[\sigma, \tau]$ is always rank unimodal.


## Take-home idea

The PPP is hard! But there are substantial classes where results have been pried out in recent years, and almost certainly more is to come.
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